1935-1936, 1936-1937 and 1937-1938 were discharged in the open sea;

(*b*)	18,50,000 Cubic	yards during	1934-1935
	22,32,000	....	1935-1936
	21,31,000	....	1936-1937
	24,44,500	....	1937-1938
(*c*)	About Rs. 1,61,800	during	1934-1935
	... Rs. 1,14,400	....	1935-1936
	.... Rs. 99,900	....	1936-1937
	.... Rs. 1,03,100	....	1937-1938

RECLAMATION—COST REGARDING.

188. **Mr. C. R. Iyyunni** (*Trichur South*): Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) what was the total amount spent for the reclamation of 250 acres of land in the Island;

(*b*) what are the various items of expenditure incurred for the same;

(*c*) wherefrom was the earth taken to raise the same?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar (*Secretary to Government*):

(*a*) Rs. 37,50,000.

(*b*) Government do not think that the labour involved in collecting the particulars will be commensurate with the value of the information obtained.

(*c*) From the backwater channel.

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni (*Trichur-South*): I do not want any detailed information regarding the various items of expenditure incurred. I want only the main headings.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar (*Secretary to Government*): This was not spent as a lump sum. The amount began to be paid from 1923 and lasted till 1933 and it was paid in instalments. The whole amount comes to this. It will be very difficult to collect all the particulars.

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni (*Trichur-South*): May I know whether the earth was taken from the backwater as a result of the dredging operations carried on for the purpose of the Harbour?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar (*Secretary to Government*): Yes.

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni (*Trichur-South*): May I know whether any amount has been debited with regard to the dredging operation on the one side and another amount so far as the reclamation is concerned on the other side?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar (*Secretary to Government*): I cannot say that now.

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni (*Trichur-South*): What I mean is, there may be one set of accounts saying that such and such amount has been spent for the purpose of dredging. The same earth taken out for the purpose of deepening the channel is used for the reclamation. What I want to know is whether another amount has been debited for that purpose?

Mr. President: Such an absurdity will not be permitted.

Mr. A. V. Moothedan (*Chalakkudi*): To which village does the reclamation area belong?

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar (*Secretary to Government*): The Diwan Peishkar tells me that it is included in the Rameswaram village but it has not been surveyed.

Mr. A. V. Moothedan (*Chalakkudi*): Then how is it that you conferred jurisdiction on courts?

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon (*Diwan Peishkar*): The area is there. The backwaters have been transformed now into land. That land has not been surveyed. The backwater falls within the Rameswaram village area.

Mr. V. J. Mathai (*Planters*): May I take it that that portion will be within the judisdiction of the Cochin Munsiff?

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon (*Diwan Peishkar*): If there is any doubt I shall verify.

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni (*Trichur-South*): May I know whether the amount spent for deepening the channel for the Harbour has been debited in the Harbour accounts or whether the expenses incurred in deepening the channel and throwing the mud this side were debited in the accounts of the reclamation alone? I can understand that a certain proportion is spent for the purpose of deepening the channel and certain proportion is spent for reclaiming the 250 acres of land that falls to our lot.

Mr. President: The Harbour authorities incurred certain expenditure for dredging and that amount has been debited in the Harbour accounts. As a result of dredging, this land was formed. Then by an agreement between the Cochin Government and the Harbour authorities it was agreed that the 250 acres of reclamation land will be taken possession of by the Cochin Government as their property and for that we paid this amount to the Harbour authorities.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. C. R. Iyyunni (*Trichur-South*): So the Harbour authorities incurred no expenditure for deepening the channel. Suppose the Government did not enter into that agreement?

Mr. President: It is one of the well-recognised principles that most of the Harbours all over the world start with a financially sound basis because they get value by way of reclamation. If the Cochin Government had taken up that attitude that means there would have been no Harbour.

Mr. K. R. Viswambharan (*Ezhuvas-Talappalli*): Has the Government any idea of surveying this land in the near future?

Mr. K. Achyuta Menon (*Diwan Peishkar*): I will look into the question.

ANCHAL OFFICES—CHOWWARA, KANJUR, ETC., PLACES—INFORMATION REGARDING.

189. Mr. P. K. Madhava Menon (*Narakkal*): Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) what was the annual income and expenditure of Chowwara and Kanjur Anchal Offices, separately for the last 3 years;

(*b*) whether there was any proposal within the last six or seven years regarding the opening of an Anchal Office at Sreemoolanagaram; if so, how does the matter stand at present;

(*c*) whether there is now any proposal to shift the Kanjur Anchal Office from its present site?

Mr. P. J. George (*Joint Secretary to Government*): (*a*) The income and expenditure of the two offices for the years 1111, 1112 and 1113 are given below:—

		Income Rs.	*Expenditure* Rs.
Chowwara Anchal Office	for 1111	306—2—0	650—4—0
,,	for 1112	333—4—10	650—4—0
,,	for 1113	330—1—9	650 4—0
Kanjur ,,	for 1111	280—7—9	268—8—0
,,	for 1112	284 6—7	268—8—0
,,	for 1113	285—0—0	256—8—0

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No.

TOWN PLANNING—ERNAKULAM.

190. Mr. M. L. Joseph (*Latian Christians-Cranganur*): Will the Government be pleased to state:—

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly